

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,256	10/22/2003	Bret M. Berry	31132.118	5450
27683 7590 . 11/04/2004 HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100			EXAMINER	
			STEWART, ALVIN J	
DALLAS, TX	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3738	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/691,256	BERRY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin J Stewart	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
·=						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-57</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)  objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/26/04.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3738

## Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the structure limitations claimed in claim 3 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the structure limitations in claim 3 are not disclosed in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 28 recites the limitation "the cylindrical portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-43, 45-52 and 54-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saggar US Patent 5,702,455.

Saggar discloses intervertebral implant comprising a first tubular body (1), a second tubular body (3), a connector (1) having two tubular connectors and/or two fixing assembly (13 & 13'), a plurality of endplates (17, see col. 4, lines 32-36), support assembly (11), a first member (16) and a second member (16), see col. 4, lines 16-18. The tubular bodies have openings (6 & 6') and graft material (see col. 1, lines 33-38).

Regarding claim 14, the three tubular bodies are the following: 1) element 2, 2) element 4, and 3) element 3, see figure 1 and the two tubular connectors are: 1) element 13, and 2) element 13'.

Regarding claim 24, the Examiner interpreted the claim as following: tubular body (1), pair of end plates (2 & 3), basket (17).

Regarding claim 25, the basket is capable of receiving graft material by openings (18).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saggar US Patent 5,702,455 in view of Rabbe et al US Patent 5,776,197.

Saggar discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Saggar does not disclose two endplates (22) defining a bore therethrough and a basket (50) disposed within the bore.

Rabbe et al teaches two endplates (22) defining a bore therethrough and a basket (50) disposed within the bore for the purpose of having a strong connection between elements. Figure 3 shows element 50 connected to grooves at element 22 and disposed also within the bore of element 22.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Saggar reference with the basket of the Rabbe et al reference in order to have a strong connection between elements.

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saggar US Patent 5,702,455 in view of Camino et al US Patent 6,086,613.

Saggar discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Saggar does not disclose engagement device comprising external threads.

Camino et al discloses an intervertebral implant comprising endplates (10) comprising external threads (70) for the purpose of attaching the implant to the vertebrae bone.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Saggar reference with the Camino et al reference in order to attach the implant to the vertebrae bone.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saggar US Patent 5,702,455.

Saggar discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Saggar does not disclose a resorbable material.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a resorbable matrix within the implant in order to promote the growth of bone tissue inside the implant and obtain a tight fit.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,256

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

Page 6

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Stewart

Alvin J Stewart Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3738

October 29, 2004.